HOME VISITING IN ILLINOIS FY 2020

July 2021

Prepared by the Illinois Early Childhood Asset Map (IECAM)

Dawn V. Thomas, PhD

Keith Hollenkamp

Geoff Boise

HOME VISITING REPORT FY2020

Compiled by the Illinois Early Childhood Asset Map (IECAM), this report is a joint effort of the major funders of home visiting in Illinois for the state Fiscal Year 2020 (July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020). The tables and charts on the following pages summarize data for the following major public funders of home visiting:

- Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE) Prevention Initiative (PI). The PI program receives funding from an infant/toddler set-aside from the Early Childhood Block Grant (ECBG), targeted at 20%. Prevention Initiative funds are distributed to eligible applicants on a competitive basis. Eligible entities include public school districts, university laboratory schools approved by ISBE, charter schools, area vocational centers, and public or private not-for profit or for-profit entities with experience in providing educational, health, social, and/or child development services to young children and their families. Chicago Public Schools receive 37% of the ECBG to provide services within the city, per the Illinois School Code.
- Early Head Start/Head Start Home-based (EHS/HS). For the purposes of this report, Head Start data has *not* been included. EHS is based on cumulative enrollment and includes the total number of children served *and* pregnant women. These data cannot be separated: (1) services for children and pregnant women are fully integrated and (2) separating the data would impact other data elements. Please note that for some data elements, EHS is reporting the same numbers for FY20 as FY19.
- Illinois Department of Human Services Healthy Families Illinois (IDHS HFI). HFI programs use the Healthy Families America (HFA) model. HFI providers formerly utilized the Cornerstone system for recordkeeping and reporting and are now using the Visit Tracker data system.
- Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting (MIECHV). Administered by IDHS, this federal program serves priority populations in targeted communities (https://mchb.hrsa.gov/maternal-child-health-initiatives/home-visiting-overview).
- **Start Early.** These programs include IDHS Parents Too Soon and any other publicly funded home visiting programs not already included in the above data sets.

This report also includes separate sections with self-reported data from the following:

City of Chicago Department of Family and Support Services (DFSS) funded home
visiting programs. As described above, ISBE annually provides early childhood block
grant (ECGB) funds to Chicago Public Schools, which then allocates funding to DFSS for
early care and education programming, including home visiting. DFSS also provides
home visiting services through a Head Start and Early Head Start grant award.

• Privately funded Nurse Family Partnership® (NFP) home visiting programs. In recent years, there have been increased levels of private funding to support NFP programs in Illinois.

The home visiting system in Illinois is complex and diverse. Multiple funding streams and numerous state, federal, and model requirements have resulted in varying data collection policies and the use of several different data management systems across programs, models, and funders in Illinois. These variations make it difficult to aggregate home visiting data, and some numbers may have been estimated. When necessary, notes have been provided to provide clarity to the summarized data.

	Table of Contents
Table 1	Total Funding for Home Visiting Programs (accompanying bar chart)
Table 2	Number of Completed Home Visits by Funder
Table 3	Total Number of Target Children Served (accompanying bar chart)
Table 4	Total Number of Programs and Target Children Served by Model
Table 5	Age of Target Children (accompanying pie chart)
Table 6.	Ethnicity and Race of Target Children
Table 7	Total Number of Primary Caregivers Served
Table 8	Age of Primary Caregiver (accompanying bar chart)
Table 9	Ethnicity and Race of Primary Caregiver
Table 10	Primary Caregivers and Educational Attainment
Table 11	Federal Poverty Level
Table 12	Selected Economic Indicators
Table 13–19	Department of Family and Support Services (DFSS)
Table 20–23	Privately Funded Nurse Family Partnership (NFP)

Summary of Select Elements

Funding. Table 1 indicates a slight increase in funding for publicly funded home visiting programs across the state.

Completed Home Visits. During this pandemic year, home visits (Table 2) were handled primarily virtually. The numbers displayed may indicate an increase in home visits that had been completed but need to be taken in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. IDHS Healthy Families Illinois (HFI) saw a dramatic increase of over 80% from FY19 to FY20.

Children Served. The total number of target children (Table 3) served did increase, albeit by under 5%. IDHS HFI reported an increase of 54% children served during FY20. As seen in Table 6, 32% of children were reported as being of Hispanic/Latino ethnicity. For race, about 45% of children were reported as White, and another 36% as Black or African American. Eleven percent were reported as more than one race, with 3% as Asian. (*Note that people who identify as Hispanic/Latino can be of any race*.)

Primary Caregivers Served. The number of primary caregivers served (Table 7) increased, with IDHS HFI reporting an increase of approximately 45%. Age and race/ethnicity are presented in Tables 8 and 9, with educational attainment shown in Table 10. It indicates the number and percentage of caregivers with less than a high school diploma and those enrolled in a GED program or in high school at the time of enrollment.

Note: HFI providers have utilized the Cornerstone system for recordkeeping and reporting until the end of 2020. For the years leading up to FY20 there were concerns about the quality of data coming from Cornerstone. IDHS collected FY20 data through direct surveys of providers. This may provide an explanation for the dramatic increase in the numbers of completed HFI home visits, children served, and primary caregivers served between FY19 and FY20.

Home Visiting Report, FY20

Each of these tables and charts includes data directly from each data source (e.g., IDHS, ISBE) for fiscal years 2015 through 2020 (unless otherwise noted). Notes are included to refer the reader to either introductory remarks or clarifying information about the data.

Table 1. Tota	l Funding for Ho	ome Visiting Prog	rams, FY15-20			
Funder	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
ISBE	31,092,090	56,910,065	68,552,785	53,797,622	65,893,425	68,252,181
EHS/HS*	35,288,819	46,956,977	37,811,010	46,828,293	47,103,527	47,103,527
IDHS	9,500,000	8,115,146	9,761,477	10,040,000	10,040,000	10,040,000
MIECHV	9,088,147	12,415,508	8,618,334.00	8,618,833	8,107,541	9,461,228
Start Early	5,949,309	8,604,899	9,962,003	5,647,290	9,318,338	9,474,793
TOTAL	90,918,365	133,002,595	134,705,609	124,932,038	140,462,831	144,331,729

Notes:

The ISBE and MIECHV totals includes funding for infrastructure activities, including professional development supports (mental health consultation, technical assistance, and monitoring). Funding amount for MIECHV FY19 has been updated.

This table includes an estimated EHS funding total of 46% for HV services in EHS only and includes pregnant women.




Table 2. Number of 0	Completed Hon	ne Visits, FY15	5-20			
Funder	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
ISBE	110,772	108,156	112,835	128,448	142,303	167,673
EHS/HS	207,936	198,398	222,240	213,210	172,730	172,730
IDHS	35,445	26,605	ND	16,276	4,361	23,150
MIECHV	13,477	12,745	12,148	9,765	12,456	11,895
Start Early	19,972	23,276	7,869	11,117	12,300	13,968
TOTAL	387,602	369,180	355,092	378,816	344,150	389,416

IDHS HFI providers have utilized the Cornerstone system for recordkeeping and reporting until the end of 2020. For the years up to FY20 there were concerns about the quality of data coming from Cornerstone. IDHS collected FY20 data through direct surveys of providers. This may provide an explanation for the difference in numbers of completed HFI home visits between FY19 and FY20.

Table 3. Total Nur	mber of Targe	t Children Se	erved, FY15-20			
Funder	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
ISBE	10,936	10,183	11,414	10,057	13,231	13,464
EHS/HS	4,374	5,966	5,248	5,752	5,455	5,455
IDHS	2,291	1,865	1,227	1,731	644	1,412
MIECHV	894	982	1,064	811	995	876
Start Early	980	697	524	844	861	939
TOTAL	19,475	19,693	19,477	19,195	21,186	22,146

Note:

IDHS HFI providers have utilized the Cornerstone system for recordkeeping and reporting until the end of 2020. For the years up to FY20 there were concerns about the quality of data coming from Cornerstone. IDHS collected FY20 data through direct surveys of providers. This may provide an explanation for the difference in numbers of HFI children served between FY19 and FY20.




Table 4. Tot	tal Nun	nber of Pro	gram	s and Targe	t Chil	dren Serve	d by I	Models, FY2	20					
Funder		PAT	E	HS/HS	HFA		Baby TALK			Other		NFP	TOTAL	
	N	Children	N	Children	N	Children	N	Children	N	Children	N	Children	Programs	Children
ISBE	88	8,153	2	36	8	494	59	4,190	3	537	1	54	161	13,464
EHS/HS			39	5,455									39	5,455
IDHS	0	0	0	0	32	1,412	0	0	0	0	0	0	32	1,412
MIECHV	11	541	0	0	11	335	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	876
Start Early	7	360	0	0	10	512	0	0	0	0	1	67	18	939
Total	105	9,054	41	5,491	62	2,753	59	4,190	3	537	2	121	272	22,146
Percent		41%		25%		12%		19%		2%		<1%		100%

N = Number of programs; PAT = Parents as Teachers; HFA = Healthy Families America; NFP = Nurse Family Partnership

EHS includes 627 pregnant women.

The MIECHV data system reports households by model but does not calculate children by model. In order to obtain the number of target children by model, model was assigned based on the P-code (program code) since each program has one model and one P-code.

Table 5. Age of T	arget Children, FY20				
Funder		Target Childr	en Age		
	Under 1 year	1-2 years	3-5 years	Unknown	Total
ISBE	3,985	7,574	0	1,905	13,464
EHS/HS	2,120	3,307	28		5,455
IDHS HFI	619	589	193	11	1,412
MIECHV	470	326	80	0	876
Start Early	714	205	20	0	939
TOTAL	7,907	12,001	321	1,916	22,146
Percent	36%	54%	1%	9%	100%

EHS is cumulative enrollment and includes pregnant women.




Table 6. E	thnicity and	d Race of	Target C	Children, F	Y20	0							
Funder		Ethnic	ity						Rac	е			
	Hispanic/ Latino	Not Hispanic Latino	NR	Total		Americ an Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian Pacific Islander	White	More than one race	NR	Total
ISBE	4,194	9,270	0	13,464		27	433	2,858	33	4,682	1,237	4,194	13,464
EHS/HS	1,902	3,553		5,455		10	73	2,355	5	2,004	412	596	5,455
IDHS HFI	390	989	33	1,412		42	45	420	19	741	130	15	1,412
MIECHV	264	612	0	876		2	5	406	2	306	155	0	876
Start Early	281	642	16	939		19	1	479	3	275	68	94	939
TOTAL	7,031	15,066	49	22,146		100	557	6,518	62	8,008	2,002	4,899	22,146
Percent	32%	68%	<1%	100%		<1%	3%	36%	<1%	45%	11%	4%	100%

NR: not recorded EHS cumulative enrollment Percentages may be rounded up

Table 7. Total Num	ber of Primary C	aregivers Serv	ed, FY15-20			
Funder	FY15	FY16	FY17	FY18	FY19	FY20
ISBE	11,095	10,611	11,632	10,258	13,550	13,856
EHS/HS	4,345	5,067	4,609	4,999	4,651	4,651
IDHS HFI	2,378	1,896	1,284	1,437	787	1,443
MIECHV	990	1,040	1,105	772	930	828
Start Early	1,033	794	628	1,024	1,025	1,011
TOTAL	19,841	19,408	19,258	18,490	20,943	21,789

Notes:

EHS is cumulative enrollment and includes pregnant women.

IDHS HFI providers have utilized the Cornerstone system for recordkeeping and reporting until the end of 2020. For the years up to FY20 there were concerns about the quality of data coming from Cornerstone. IDHS collected FY20 data through direct surveys of providers. This may provide an explanation for the difference in numbers of HFI children served between FY19 and FY20.

Funder						Age						
	<=17	18-19	20-21	22-24	25-29	30-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Not Recorded	Total
ISBE	438	683	1,045	1,974	3,792	3,039	2,587	183	68	28	19	13,856
EHS/HS											4,651	4,651
IDHS HFI	85	164	245	363	375	130	64	14	0	0	3	1,443
MIECHV	58	83	115	152	180	122	104	8	4	0	2	828
Start Early	185	264	248	193	71	28	22	0	0	0	0	1,011
TOTAL	766	1,194	1,653	2,682	4,418	3,319	2,777	205	72	28	4,675	21,789
Percent	4%	5%	8%	12%	22%	15%	13%	1%	<1%	<1%	21%	100%

EHS PIR does not collect data on age of primary caregivers, therefore we have put it in "not recorded." Percentages may be rounded up.




Table 9. Et	hnicity and I	Race of Prim	ary Car	egivers, FY	20								
Funder		Ethnicit	:у						Race				
	Hispanic Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino	NR	Total		American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	More than one race	NR	Total
ISBE	4,292	9,564	0	13,856		44	489	3,040	43	5,606	342	4,292	13,856
EHS/HS												4,651	4,651
IDHS HFI	315	1,119	9	1,443		12	39	436	34	790	123	9	1,443
MIECHV	277	551	0	828		4	8	359	1	353	103	0	828
Start Early	289	701	21	1,011		37	3	504	2	316	24	125	1,011
TOTAL	5,173	11,935	30	17,138		97	539	4,339	80	7,065	592	9,077	21,789
Percent	40%	93%	<1%	>100%		<1%	2%	20%	<1%	32%	3%	42%	100%

EHS PIR does not collect data on race/ethnicity of primary caregivers, therefore we have put it in "not recorded." Percentages may be rounded up.

Table 10.	Educational Attain	ment of Primary Care	givers, FY20		
		•	rs with less than High na at Enrollment	•	ers Enrolled in High grams at Enrollment
Funder	Total Primary Caregivers	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total
ISBE	13,856	3,761	27%	ND	ND
EHS/HS	4,651	1,141	25%	303	7%
IDHS HFI	1,443	390	27%	292	20%
MIECHV	828	268	29%	68	8%
Start Early	1,011	153	15%	71	7%
TOTAL	21,789	5,713	26%	734*	9%*

Notes:

ND: no data; ISBE does not collect this data point

Each data element is a separate indicator; the numbers and percentages will most likely not add up to 100%

The Start Early data in Table 10 are recorded at the fiscal year start, not at the time of enrollment.

*These totals do not include ISBE since they do not collect these data. The percentage is using a denominator of 7,933.

Funder	Household income below 50% FPL		House House hold income between 51- 100% FPL 101-133% FPL		ncome veen 133%	House hold income between 134- 200% FPL		House hold income between 201-300% FPL		House hold income above 301% FPL		Unknown/Did Not Report		
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
ISBE	38%	5,060	34%	4,528			2% 908*			69 78			1%	188
EHS/HS	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
IDHS	N/C		N/C	1,239	N/C	184	N/C		N/C		N/C		N/C	
MIECHV	54%	428	34%	268	7%	59	2%	19	1%	10	<1%	4	1%	8
Start Early			N/A		N/A		100%	1,011	N/A		N/A		N/A	

*=ISBE only collects these data 100%-200% and 200%-400% FPL

ND = No data; the PIR (EHS/HS) does not collect income in this format.

N/C = Not calculated N/A = Not applicable

 ${\it IDHS\ Healthy\ Families\ Illinois\ only\ collects\ "above\ or\ below\ the\ federal\ poverty\ level."}$

Table 12. Select Econo	mic Indicators, FY20			
Funder	Children/ Families receiving WIC	Children/ Families receiving SNAP	Children/ Families receiving TANF	Children/ Families receiving housing subsidies
ISBE	9,116	6,511	1,155	962
EHS/HS	2,475	2,116	286	576
IDHS	ND	ND	ND	ND
MIECHV	ND	ND	ND	ND
Start Early	805	ND	222	ND

Notes:

ND = No Data (not available for report)

City of Chicago Department of Family and Support Services (DFSS)

The Chicago Department of Family and Support Services (DFSS), through the Children Services Division, is dedicated to helping Chicago's youngest residents make the most of their lives and potential. DFSS manages Head Start, Early Head Start, child care, and youth programs throughout Chicago to ensure families receive quality programming (https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/fss/provdrs/child.html). ISBE annually provides early childhood block grant (ECGB) and Prevention Initiative funds to Chicago Public Schools, which then allocates funding to DFSS for early care and education programming.

The following data are self-reported by DFSS. These data may not match the data that are reported in the ISBE Student Information System (SIS) due to the multiple funding streams included.

Table 13. Age of Target Children, FY20										
		Target Child	ren Age							
	Under 1 year	Total								
DFSS	1,773	1,490	210	0	3,473					
Percent	51%	43%	6%	0	100%					

Table 14.	Ethnicity and	d Race of Ta	arget Chi	ldren, FY20							
		Ethnicity			Race						
	Hispanic Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino	Total	American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	More than one race	NR	Total
DFSS	1,402	2,073	3,475	35	128	1,198	7	651	107	1,349	3475
Percent	40%	60%	100%	1%	4%	34%	<1%	19%	3%	39%	100%

NR=not reported

Table 15. Ag FY20	Table 15. Age of Primary Caregiver, FY20													
	Age													
	<=17	18-19	20-21	20-21 22-24 25-29 30-34 35-44 45-54 55-64 65+ NR Total										
DFSS	68	85	122	260	661	516	512	22	2	2	536	2,286		
Percent	3%	4%	5%	12%	29%	23%	23%	<1%	<1%	<1%	23%	100%		

NR = Not Reported

Table 16. Et	hnicity and	Race of Prir	mary Caregiv	ers,	, FY20								
		Ethnicity				Race							
	Hispanic Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino	Total		American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Black or African American	Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	White	More than one race	NR	Total	
DFSS	1,290	1,496	2,786		42	102	1,268	8	557	72	737	2786	
Percent	46%	54%	100%		1%	4%	46%	<1%	20%	3%	26%	100%	

NR = Not Reported

	Table 17. Primary Caregivers and Educational Attainment, FY20										
			Primary Caregivers with less than High School Diploma at Enrollment High School or GED Programs and Enrollment Enrollment								
	Total Primary Caregivers	Number	Percent of Total	Number	Percent of Total						
DFSS	2,786	1,403	50%	93	3%						

Table 18. Federal	Table 18. Federal Poverty Level, FY20														
	Household income below 50% FPL				hold i	House hold income between 101- 133% FPL		House hold income between 134-200% FPL		House hold income between 201- 300% FPL		House hold income above 301% FPL		Unknown/Did Not Report	
	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
DFSS	70%	1,950	23%	653	3%	97	<1%	10	<1%	1	N/C	N/C	N/C	N/C	

N/C = Not calculated

Table 19. Selected Economic Indicator, FY20										
	Children/ Families receiving WIC	Children/ Famil receiving SNA		Children/ Families receiving housing subsidies						
DFSS	1,436	9	09 175	14						

Nurse-Family Partnership® (NFP)

Nurse-Family Partnership® (NFP) is privately funded. The purpose of NFP is to work with first-time mothers and their children by providing the support of a registered nurse (see the Annual Report 2020 at https://www.nursefamilypartnership.org/about/annual-report-2020/

Table 20. Home Visiting, FY20	
Total Funding:	\$2,000,000
Completed Home Visits:	453
Target Children Served:	406
Number of Funded Programs	2
Primary Caregivers Served:	469
Primary Caregiver Education	
Number of primary caregivers with less than HS Diploma	26
Number of primary caregivers enrolled in HS/GED programs	19
Income of Primary Caregivers	
# of Households 50% FPL and under	60
# of Households 51-100%	39
# of Households 101-133%	20
# of Households 134-200%	38
# of Households 201-300%	9
# of Households >300%	0
Unknown/Did Not Report	0

Note:

This report provides the total number of clients served and home visits. However, the demographic data only includes those children newly enrolled during the timeframe. As such, this may exclude those already being served that had enrolled in the previous fiscal year (since it is a 2½-year program).

Table 21. Age of FY20	of Target Children,								
		Target Children Age							
	Under 1 year	Under 1 year 1-2 years 3-5 years							
NFP	406	0	0	406					
Percent	100%	0%	0%	100%					

Table 22.	Race of P	rimary Ca	regiv	er, FY2	0									
		Ethnicit	у				Race							
	Hispani c Latino	Not Hispani c or Latino	NR	Tota I		American Asia Black or Native White More NR To Indian or n African Hawaiian than America or Pacific one Native n Islander race								
NFP	23	168	7	198		0	2	114	0	64	10	0	190	
Percent	Due to inconsistent totals of primary caregivers, the disaggregated percentages could not be determined with reliability.													

NR = Not Reported

Table 23. A	ge of Pr	imary Ca	regiver										
	Age												
	<=17	18-19	20-21	22-24	25-29	30-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Total		
NFP	19	30	6	0	51		38						
Percent	10%	15%	30)%	26%			19%			100%		

Note:

NFP combined the age groups 20–24 years and over 30 years.